

Amendments to the Drawings:

Figure 5 of the drawings has been amended as follows. The Applicant submits replacement drawing sheet.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the comments in the Office Action which has been carefully considered. It is respectfully submitted that all issues raised are traversed, being hereinafter addressed with reference to the relevant headings appearing in the Detailed Action section of the Office Action.

The Applicant has amended the claim set. The Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to the claim set are fully supported by the originally filed specification.

Drawings

The Examiner has objected to Figure 5 for lacking clarity and precision. In particular the Examiner has indicated that the numbers 35, 36 and 37 as disclosed on page 9 lines 25 to 28 of the specification are missing on Figure 5. We enclose herewith a replacement sheet of Figure 5 indicating the relevant integers. The Applicant respectfully submits that no new subject matter has been included in the specification. Therefore, the Applicant requests withdrawal of the drawing objection.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

The Examiner has objected to claims 1 to 6, 10 and 11 as being anticipated by Sarbadhikari *et al.* (US Patent No. 5,477,264).

The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's conclusion that these claims are anticipated by Sarbadhikari *et al.* In particular, it is clear from the claims and from the specification that the camera control print medium includes printed encoded camera control instructions. However, in order to expedite the allowance of the current application, the Applicant has amended claim 1 to clarify that the camera control print medium comprises a surface having at least one printed encoded camera control instruction disposed therein or thereon.

It is clear from Sarbadhikari *et al.* that the card referred to throughout the specification is a memory card indicated by integer 24. Clearly, based on the disclosure in Sarbadhikari *et al.* the card does not have a surface having at least one printed encoded camera control instruction disposed therein or thereon. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the anticipation rejection.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

The Examiner has rejected claims 7 to 9 as being unpatentable over Sarbadhikari *et al.* in view of Hara *et al.* (US Patent No. 5,726,435). The Applicant respectfully submits that in light of the amendments to claim 1, the Examiner's rejection is moot. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the obviousness rejection is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:



Kia Silverbrook

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762